



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/091,510	12/17/1998	CHRISTOPHER TOWNSEND	2365-104	5025

6449 7590 05/07/2003

ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, P.C.  
1425 K STREET, N.W.  
SUITE 800  
WASHINGTON, DC 20005

EXAMINER

BROWN, RUEBEN M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2611

DATE MAILED: 05/07/2003

28

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

28

# Office Action Summary

Application No.  
**09/091,510**

Applicant(s)  
**Townsend, et al**

Examiner  
**Reuben Brown**

Art Unit  
**2611**



-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

## Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Feb 24, 2003.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 3-8, 10-33, 35-45, 59, 60, and 65-68 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above, claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 3-8, 10-33, 35-45, 59, 60, and 65-68 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 35 and 36 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claims \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☒ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some\* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

## Attachment(s)

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                              | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____  |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other:  |

Art Unit: 2611

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Response to Arguments***

1. Pursuant to applicant's argument of 2/24/2003, regarding the new rejection of claims 59 & 60, the finality of the Office Action mailed 9/24/2002 is withdrawn.

Applicant's arguments filed 2/24/2003 regarding the prior art have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The major point argued by applicant, which is asserted on page 4, 2nd paragraph, that in Throckmorton, "there is no disclosure of a processor responsive to stored information data to output for display data derived from image data and information data and representing an interactive image". Examiner respectfully disagrees with applicant's assertion.

First of all, examiner points out and applicant admits (page 7, 2nd paragraph) that associated data is transmitted to a subscriber equipment and stored at the instant subscriber equipment, in local data storage 80, see col. 7, line 67 thru col. 8, lines 1-2. Again it is noted that the associated data of Throckmorton includes image data.

However, applicant argues in the same paragraph that the commands used by the real time trigger 76 to retrieve the image data are not stored. Examiner respectfully disagrees with this

Art Unit: 2611

assertion, since Throckmorton clearly states that different forms of associated data are extracted from the digital data stream, including control commands, see col. 6, lines 56-63. Moreover, at col. 7, lines 21-24, it is disclosed that, “Real time trigger 76 accepts commands sent as part of the associated data to display a page of information without the user asking for it”.

Throckmorton operates in the following manner, associated data, which includes image data (graphics, text, still images, etc.) and information data, (i.e., control commands or scripts, see col. 4, lines 58-60 & col. 8, lines 1-13) are extracted from a digital stream and stored in a storage device within a user equipment. These control commands or scripts are then used by the real time trigger 76 to retrieve image data at the appropriate time to be displayed along with the corresponding video from the primary data stream. Therefore, Throckmorton reads on the relevant portions of the claimed subject matter.

Applicant requests evidence to support the assertion made in the previous Office Action, that “it was well known in the art for a user of a network data terminal to utilize a GUI image in order to activate a modem to establish communication with a remote site”.

Examiner successfully pointed out in the Office Action mailed 9/24/2002 that Green teaches this feature, and thus provides the evidence. Nevertheless, applicant asserts that that response does not meet the burden for a 103 rejection. Applicant also discusses on page 3, the

Art Unit: 2611

motivation of, "...a more user friendly interface", as set forth by the examiner. It is agreed, that the user interface of Throckmorton may in fact be a user friendly interface. Nevertheless, examiner again points out the use of the notoriously well-known GUI technique of interactive icons, may provides a more friendly user interface. At the time the invention was made, the use of icons or radio buttons (i.e., interactive images), which upon activation by a user, launches applications, macros or executable programs was old in the art. The use of these icons or radio buttons, (i.e., interactive images), were known to simplify multiple step processes. At the time the invention was made, such a technique was not a patently distinctive feature.

Moreover, examiner has provided a motivation for combining Throckmorton & Green of enabling a user to establish a communication link while using the software, already being used. This avoids the user needing to access an additional software to establish a link, by just selecting a certain button, and the user's system establishes a link with a remote database. Thus, examiner respectfully disagrees with applicant's assertion on page 3, that the Office Action has supplied no motivation for the proposes modification of Throckmorton.

It is also noted that on page 8, applicant states that with respect to Green, "No image data, e.g. TV signals are broadcast in this system. The technology of Green would not therefore be considered by persons skilled in the art dealing with broadcast of digital TV and data for interactive display". Examiner again respectfully disagrees with applicant's assertion. Green

Art Unit: 2611

clearly teaches that using a modem, the DPU 10 can establish communication with DFTC 12 over a wired or cellular telephone connection, or alternatively the invention can employ interactive CATV, satellite communication, or fiber-optic data transmission link, all of which, at the time the invention was made was known to support digital TV; see col. 4, lines 61-67. Thus, Green is compatible and combinable with Throckmorton.

Applicant also argues on page 9-10 that since Throckmorton is concerned with one-way communication, which simulates two-way communication to the user, that it is thus inappropriate to be combined with Green, which discusses using a modem, and is inherently two-way. Examiner points out that the two-way simulation of Throckmorton in fact stores graphics, text, still images and web pages on a user equipment. These image data are retrieved and coordinated with corresponding video images, which reduces the time it would take to retrieve these images over a network, in a traditional two-way communication. However, once a user in Throckmorton interacts with a web page, there is actual two-way communication using a modem (col. 8, lines 25-67 & col. 9, lines 1-25), which is consistent with the teachings of Green. Therefore, again since Green also includes an embodiment wherein two-way communication is used, the two references are properly combinable.

On page 3, of applicant's response, examiner's use of the Cina reference is discussed.

Examiner points out that this reference was supplied pursuant to applicant's request of 8/15/2002

Art Unit: 2611

(page 6) of evidence to support an Official Notice taken with respect to claims 18 & 41.

Examiner furthermore points out that Cina discloses that the scrolling technique is beneficial for displaying data which is larger than the window to which it is presented, see col. 1, lines 50-60 & col. 3, lines 1-25.

With respect to the Nemirosky, Shutte & Chen, these references were also provided pursuant to applicant's request for evidence to support an Official Notice. Nemirosky provides a motivation in the lines 1-3 of the Abstract, 'for increasing the efficiency of remote service transactions'. Likewise, Chen (col. 3, lines 5-42) discusses that the system greatly expands upon the concept of credit cards, which is a motivation for modifying the base reference.

### *Claim Objections*

2. Claims 35 & 36 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75, as depending directly or indirectly from a canceled claim 34. Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 2611

*Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103*

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1, 3-4, 6-8, 10-14, 16-30, 32-33, 35-37, 39-45, 66 & 68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Throckmorton (U.S. Pat # 5,818,441), in view of Green, (U.S. Pat # 5,664,110) and Aker, (The Macintosh Companion).

Considering amended claims 1 & 28, the amended claimed receiver and method for receiving broadcast TV signals representing both image data and information data, the receiver comprising a decoder for separating image data from information data, is met by the decoder 58 of Throckmorton. The instant reference teaches that both a primary data stream, i.e. video data and an associated data stream, i.e. graphics, text, URL, etc. may be transmitted to and decoded by a user's network terminal receiver 34, and decoder 58, (Fig. 2; Fig. 3; col. 6, lines 4-58).



Art Unit: 2611

The claimed receiver for receiving broadcast digital TV signals representing both image data and information data reads on the operation of the end user equipment in Throckmorton, which may receive TV data over an analog or digital transmission medium, see col. 6, lines 4-15.

The claimed image data is broad enough to read on the image data included in associated data as disclosed by Throckmorton, col. 3, lines 55-67. It discloses that the associated data may at least be created using software packages for the following file formats, HTML, JPEG, GIF and so forth, which clearly reads on the claimed image data.

The claimed information data reads on the script used by the real-time trigger 76, which controls the display of the associated data at a specific points in time, (col. 4, lines 58-61 & col. 7, lines 20-25). The script is transmitted within the associated data to the end user's equipment. The claimed store for storing the received information data is met by Throckmorton, col. 7, lines 30-35 & col. 7, lines 65-67. The claimed processor responsive to stored information data to output for display data derived from the image data, such that the image data represents an interactive image reads on the operation of Throckmorton, which teaches that the user is enabled to interact with URL's on web pages, which may have been stored on the user's network terminal, (col. 9, lines 1-25). The claimed processor reads on the operation of the local data manager 84, col. 7, lines 37-44, which retrieves image data from the local data storage 80 upon reception of appropriate commands from the real time trigger 76.

Art Unit: 2611

The claimed modem for establishing a telecommunications link to a server is met by the disclosure of Throckmorton, which teaches that a modem may be used to transmit interactive and/or control data over a PSTN medium, even though the receiver may also receive video data, (col. 4, lines 6, lines 65-67 and col. 7, lines 1-2; col. 8, lines 15-24).

Thus the amended claimed feature of transmitting on-line data to and from a remote site, using a modem is met by the teachings of Throckmorton, col. 8, lines 52-67. Throckmorton does not teach that the establishment of the connection by the modem is the result of received commands signals that vary an interactive image.

However, Green (col. 4, lines 41-65; col. 10, lines 18-28; col. 12, lines 57-67) provides a disclosure of an interactive system, wherein the user manipulates an ORDER button, 62 or 72 (Fig. 3; Fig. 5), which causes the network data terminal device, DPU 10 to establish communication with a central database, DFTC 12. Green teaches that the invention is applicable for use by PSTN, wired, wireless, or CATV networks, and thus its combination with Throckmorton is proper. It would have been obvious for one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to modify Throckmorton, with the well known technique of utilizing a GUI in order to activate a modem to establish a telecommunications link as taught by Green, at least for the desirable advantage of enabling the user to establish the link with respect to any particular corresponding application which requires such a link, efficiently utilizing the same

Art Unit: 2611

software application which the user may already be interacting with in order communicate over time with the remote site.

As for the specifically claimed feature of varying an interactive image, responsive to received command, at the time the invention was made, it was notoriously well known in the art of GUI technology to change the display of an icon that has been selected, thereby providing conspicuous notification to the user that the icon has been selected. As an example, Aker teaches that when an icon, or button is selected, it would be advantageous to vary the image by drawing a border around the selected icon, changing its color, or shading the icon, (pages 64-65, 80 & 88-89). It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to modify the combination of Throckmorton & Green, with the technique of varying the display of a selected icon or button, for the desirable improvement of more positively notifying the user which icon or button has been selected, as taught by Aker.

Considering amended claim 3, see Throckmorton, col. 6, lines 54-63. The claimed program data is broad enough to read on executable computer programs, which are disclosed as 'control commands' in Throckmorton.

Considering amended claim 4, see Throckmorton, col. 7, lines 13-30, which teaches that the end user, through a human interface device, may request for various data to be displayed.

Art Unit: 2611

Considering amended claim 6, the instant claim recites that the image data comprises video image data. Examiner points out that video image data is broad enough to read on a single frame, i.e. still frame of a video sequence. Since Throckmorton discloses that the image data includes at least still images, the instant recited limitation is met by the reference.

As for the claimed converter for converting the image data into data representing a video image for display in the interactive image, such a converter is necessarily included in the Internet browser of Throckmorton, since it at least converts GIF & JPEG images, also see col. 4, lines 24-33 & col. 7, lines 35-44.

Considering claim 7-8, see Throckmorton, col. 7, lines 15-20.

Considering claim 10, the claimed feature reads on the local data storage 80.

Considering claims, 11, 12, 13 & 16, the claimed subject matter reads on Throckmorton disclosure of a user interacting with Web pages, col. 7, lines 12-20 & col. 8, lines 1-15.

Considering claims 14, 17, 37, 40, 66 & 68, at the time the invention was made, it was very well known in the art that WWW browsers, such as disclosed by Throckmorton provide for displaying a plurality of interactive screens/windows, including in a hierarchical arrangement. The

Art Unit: 2611

hierarchical order depends upon which screen/window is active, i.e. on top, and is generally determined by the placement of the end user's cursor within a particular screen/window.

Considering claims 18 & 41, Official Notice is taken that at the time the invention was made, it was well known in the art to generate image data with a specific size or resolution. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to modify Throckmorton with the well known technique of predetermined screen or image size, at least for the desirable benefit of generating the image to fit a particular target display size.

Considering claims 19-22 & 42-45, it would have been obvious for one ordinary skill in the art to provide for movement of a portion interactive image, at least for the desirable benefit of more dramatically indicating to the user, the past interactions.

Considering claims 23-27, Green discusses the use of smart-card technology to restrict access to certain to only the authorized users, (col. 5, lines 22-62; col. 10, lines 34-52) and to facilitate the transmission of user ID information, without requiring the user to enter such information at keyboard for each transaction. Official Notice is taken that at the time the invention was made, it was well known to issue consumers, credit cards from financial institutions. For instance, it would have been obvious to include a means for reading credit cards from financial institutions, which would have been an advantage since there are such a high

Art Unit: 2611

number of consumers which carry such cards, therefore the majority of the consumers could use the system right away, without having to wait for a purchase card to be sent from each individual merchant with which the user desires to shop. It would have been obvious for one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to modify the combination of Throckmorton & Green to include any number of card readers, at least depending upon the various types of cards which may be processed.

Considering claims 29-30 & 35-36, see Throckmorton, col. 3, lines 58-65 & col. 7, lines 12-35, which teaches that the associated data are displayed according to a script, and that the user is enabled to interact with the associated data.

Considering claims 32-33, the associated data in Throckmorton is displayed along with video data, including interactive data.

Considering claim 39, Throckmorton teaches that the on-line data may includes pointers to other on-line data, as well as to other content stored at the terminal, col. 9, lines 12-25.

5. Claims 5, 15, 31, 38, 65 & 67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Throckmorton, Green & Aker and further in view of Hendricks, (WO 94/14284).

Art Unit: 2611

Regarding claims 5, 15, 31 & 38, Throckmorton fails to specifically disclose stored information data comprising template data and a processor to construct the data representing the interactive image from received information data and the stored template data. However, Hendricks teaches a reprogrammable terminal for suggesting programs offered on a television program delivery system comprising reprogrammable software stored in memory and processed by the processor for generating and changing menu formats, templates, logos, colors of the display (page 4, lines 15-27 and pages 19-20). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Throckmorton by the teachings of Hendricks so that the look and feel of the system can accommodate and perform useful functions created by other manufacturers. Also storing template data on the local receiver, reduces the need for the instant local receiver to retrieve more of the data required by the user over the network, thereby causing the user to receive information faster, and without unnecessary network delay.

Considering claims 65 & 67, Hendricks discloses plural interactive screens (Fig. 8; Figs. 11A-11E).

Art Unit: 2611

6. Claims 59-60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Throckmorton, Green & Aker, as applied to claim 1, and further in view of Vlahos, (U.S. Pat # 5,907,315).

Considering claims 59 & 60, the instant claim recites that the interactive image includes a background image comprises a portion having a subject that corresponds with the subject of background of the preview picture, so that it appears that the background and the preview picture form a single continuous interactive image. Even though Throckmorton does not discuss the specifics of combining images, at the time the invention was made, numerous techniques were very well known in the art for combining images in such a way, as to make the composition aesthetically pleasing to the viewer. In particular Vlahos is directed to combining a main image with a background image, such that the best possible composite image is produced, Abstract; col. 1, lines 65-67 thru col. 2, line 4.

The instant reference teaches insertion of a picture (foreground), within a background, col. 1, lines 10-18. Vlahos overcomes the known problem of composition of pictures on a background, see col. 1, lines 30-55 & col. 3, lines 1-15. Moreover, Vlahos discusses several instances wherein the subject of the background corresponds with the subject of the background of the foreground, see col. 11, lines 9-13 & col. 13, lines 12-1. Algorithm are used, which matches the background with a background of a foreground picture, using a variety of



Art Unit: 2611

parameters, in order to obtain the best possible balance, i.e., meshing of the images, see Abstract; col. 4, lines 42-50; col. 5, lines 52-57& col. 10, lines 32-55. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to modify Throckmorton with techniques of image composition, at least for the desirable benefit of overcoming well known problems combining foreground and background images, as taught by Vlahos, col. 1, lines 10-45.

### ***Conclusion***

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2611

**Any response to this action should be mailed to:**

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

**or faxed to:**

(703) 872-9314, (for formal communications intended for entry)

**Or:**

(703) 872-9314 (for informal or draft communications, please label

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive,  
Arlington, VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Reuben M. Brown whose telephone number is (703) 305-2399. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday from 830am to 430pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Faile, can be reached on (703) 305-4380. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.



ANDREW FAILE  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600